ATTACHMENT 4

PAST PERFORMANCE/PROJECT REFERENCES QUESTIONNAIRE

RETURN RESPONSES TO:

NNSA SERVICE CENTER ATTN: LINDA WORTHINGTON OBS/SITE SUPPORT DIVISION P.O. BOX 5400 ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87185 PHONE: 505-845-4693

FAX: 505-845-4210

ALL RESPONSES MUST BE RECEIVED PRIOR TO CLOSING DATE OF SOLICITATION AND MAILED OR FAXED TO THE ADDRESS ABOVE

SECTION A: CONTRACTOR INFORMATION (to be completed by the Contractor requesting evaluation prior to mailing)

A. Contractor's name and address:	
B. Name of Company:	
C. Project Description noting similarities with project specifications:	
D. Contract Number:	
D. Contract Number: E. Period of Performance and Contract Amount:	
D. Contract Number:	

H. Contractor being evaluated performed as the
I. Authorization is hereby granted to provide the information requested in Section B or
of this questionnaire.
Signature
Name and Title of Authorizing Official
Date

SECTION B: RESPONDENT INFORMATION (to be completed by respondent)

EVALUATED BY:					
Signature					Date
Typed or Printed Name					Address
Title					Phone Number
NOTE: THE IDENTITY OF INI INFORMATION SHALL NOT I		, ,		VI	IDING REFERENCE
PAST PERFORMANCE/PROJE following statements, choose the le Contractor's performance. The letter	etter on the	scale	e, wh	ich	most accurately describes the
(O) Outstanding (5): Outstanding for general construction projects.	performa	nce re	cord,	, wi	ith a high probability of succe
(G) Good: (4) Good performance adherence to schedule.	record, wit	th ger	erall	y ac	cceptable quality and
(S) Satisfactory: (3) Satisfactory projects of low to medium risk and			ord, v	witł	h acceptable quality for
(M) Marginal: (2) Marginal perfort to perform low to medium risk pro					
(U) Unsatisfactory: (1) Unsatisfactory be awarded another project in the results.			ce re	core	d and this company would not
EVAI	LUATION	V QU	EST]	ON	NS
1. QUALITY: Contractor provided effecti resulted in a quality-finishe		2 contr	3 ol an	4 d in	1 5 nspection procedures, which
2. SCHEDULE:	1	2	3	4	4 5

Contractor scheduled the project realistically, worked according to schedule, and addressed problems and changes in a timely manner.

3. PRICING: 1 2 3 4 5

Contractor exercised reasonable pricing and change order policies

4. SAFETY: 1 2 3 4 5

Contractor had a proactive safety program and performed the project with an emphasis on safety.

5. SATISFACTION: 1 2 3 4 5

Customer would have no reservations in awarding another project to the contractor.